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## Method of Path Analysis

- Allows us to represent linear models for the relationship between variables in diagrammatic form, e.g. a genetic model; a factor model; a regression model
- Makes it easy to derive expectations for the variances and covariances of variables in terms of the parameters of the proposed linear model
- Permits easy translation into matrix formulation as used by programs such as Mx, OpenMx.


## Conventions of Path Analysis I

- Squares or rectangles denote observed variables
- Circles or ellipses denote latent (unmeasured) variables
- Upper-case letters are used to denote variables
- Lower-case letters (or numeric values) are used to denote covariances or path coefficients
- Single-headed arrows or paths (->) represent hypothesized causal relationships
- where the variable at the tail is hypothesized to have a direct causal influence on the variable at the head



## Conventions of Path Analysis II

- Double-headed arrows (<->) are used to represent a covariance between two variables, which may arise through common causes not represented in the model.
- Double-headed arrows may also be used to represent the variance of a variable.


$$
Y=a X+b Z+e E
$$

## Conventions of Path Analysis III

- Variables that do not receive causal input from any one variable in the diagram are referred to as independent, or predictor or exogenous variables.
- Variables that do, are referred to as dependent or endogenous variables.
- Only independent variables are connected by doubleheaded arrows.
- Single-headed arrows may be drawn from independent to dependent variables or from dependent variables to other dependent variables.



## Conventions of Path Analysis IV

- Omission of a two-headed arrow between two independent variables implies the assumption that the covariance of those variables is zero
- Omission of a direct path from an independent (or dependent) variable to a dependent variable implies that there is no direct causal effect of the former on the latter variable


## Path Tracing

The covariance between any two variables is the sum of all legitimate chains connecting the variables

The numerical value of a chain is the product of all traced path coefficients in it

A legitimate chain is a path along arrows that follow 3 rules:
(i) Trace backward, then forward, or simply forward from one variable to another.
NEVER forward then backward!
Include double-headed arrows from the independent variables to itself. These variances will be 1 for latent variables
(ii) Loops are not allowed, i.e. we can not trace twice through the same variable
(iii) There is a maximum of one curved arrow per path. So, the double-headed arrow from the independent variable to itself is included, unless the chain includes another double-headed arrow (e.g. a correlation path)

## The Variance

Since the variance of a variable is the covariance of the variable with itself, the expected variance will be the sum of all paths from the variable to itself, which follow the path tracing rules


- $\operatorname{Cov} \mathrm{AB}=\mathrm{kl}+\mathrm{mqn}+\mathrm{mpl}$
- $\operatorname{Cov} B C=n o$
- $\operatorname{Cov} A C=m q o$
- $\operatorname{Var} A=k^{2}+m^{2}+2 k p m$
- $\operatorname{Var} B=l^{2}+n^{2}$
- $\operatorname{Var} C=0^{2}$


## Path Diagrams for the Classical Twin Model

## Quantitative Genetic Theory

- There are two sources of Genetic influences: Additive (A) and non-additive or Dominance (D)
- There are two sources of environmental influences: Common or shared (C) and non-shared or unique (E)



## In the preceding diagram...

- A, D, C, E are independent variables - A = Additive genetic influences
- D = Non-additive genetic influences (i.e., dominance)
- C = Shared environmental influences
$-E=$ Non-shared environmental influences
- A, D, C, E have variances of 1
- Phenotype is a dependent variable - P = phenotype; the measured variable
- a, d, c, e are parameter estimates

Model for MZ Pairs Reared Together


Note: a, c and e are the same cross twins

## Model for DZ Pairs Reared Together



Note: a, c and e are also the same cross groups

## Variance of Twin 1 AND Twin 2 (for MZ and DZ pairs)



## Variance of Twin 1 AND Twin 2 (for MZ and DZ pairs)



## Variance of Twin 1 AND Twin 2 (for MZ and DZ pairs)



## Variance of Twin 1 AND Twin 2 (for MZ and DZ pairs)



## Variance of Twin 1 AND Twin 2 (for MZ and DZ pairs)



Total Variance $=a^{2}+c^{2}+e^{2}$

## Covariance Twin 1-2: MZ pairs



## Covariance Twin 1-2: MZ pairs



## Covariance Twin 1-2: MZ pairs



Total Covariance $=\mathrm{a}^{2}+$

## Covariance Twin 1-2: MZ pairs



Total Covariance $=\mathrm{a}^{2}+\mathrm{c}^{2}$

## Covariance Twin 1-2: DZ pairs



Total Covariance $=.5 a^{2}+c^{2}$

## Predicted Var-Cov Matrices

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { COVMZ } \begin{array}{cc}
\text { Tw1 } & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a^{2}+c^{2}+e^{2} & a^{\text {Tw2 }}+c^{2} \\
a^{2}+c^{2} & a^{2}+c^{2}+e^{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
\text { COVDZ } & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\text { Tw1 } & \\
a^{2}+c^{2}+e^{2} & \frac{1}{2} a^{2}+c^{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} a^{2}+c^{2} & a^{2}+c^{2}+e^{2}
\end{array}\right]
\end{array}>.
\end{aligned}
$$

## ADE Model



## Predicted Var-Cov Matrices

## Tw1

$$
\text { COvMZ } \begin{array}{cc}
\text { Tw1 }
\end{array}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a^{2}+d^{2}+e^{2} & a^{2}+d^{2} \\
a^{2}+d^{2} & a^{2}+d^{2}+e^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\operatorname{Cov~DZ}_{\text {Tw2 }}^{\text {Tw1 }}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a^{2}+d^{2}+e^{2} & \frac{1}{2} a^{\text {Tw2 }}+\frac{1}{4} d^{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} a^{2}+\frac{1}{4} d^{2} & a^{2}+d^{2}+e^{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

## ACE or ADE

$\operatorname{Cov}(m z)=a^{2}+c^{2}$ or $\quad a^{2}+d^{2}$
$\operatorname{Cov}(d z)=1 / 2 a^{2}+c^{2}$ or $1 / 2 a^{2}+1 / 4 d^{2}$
$V_{P}=a^{2}+c^{2}+e^{2}$
or $\quad a^{2}+d^{2}+e^{2}$

3 unknown parameters (a, c, e or a, d, e), and only 3 distinct predictive statistics:
Cov MZ, Cov DZ, Vp
this model is just identified

## Effects of C and D are confounded

The twin correlations indicate which of the two components is more likely to fit the data:
$\operatorname{Cor}(m z)=a^{2}+c^{2}$ or $\quad a^{2}+d^{2}$
$\operatorname{Cor}(d z)=1 / 2 a^{2}+c^{2}$ or $1 / 2 a^{2}+1 / 4 d^{2}$
If $\mathrm{a}^{2}=.40, \mathrm{c}^{2}=.20$
$r_{m z}=0.60$
$r_{\mathrm{dz}}=0.40$
ACE

If $\mathrm{a}^{2}=.40, \mathrm{~d}^{2}=.20$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{\mathrm{mz}}=0.60 \\
& r_{\mathrm{dz}}=0.25
\end{aligned}
$$

ADE

## ADCE: classical twin design + adoption data

$\operatorname{Cov}(m z)=a^{2}+d^{2}+c^{2}$
$\operatorname{Cov}(d z)=1 / 2 a^{2}+1 / 4 d^{2}+c^{2}$
$\operatorname{Cov}($ adopSibs $)=c^{2}$
$V_{P}=a^{2}+d^{2}+c^{2}+e^{2}$
4 unknown parameters (a, c, d, e), and 4 distinct predictive statistics:
Cov MZ, Cov DZ, Cov adopSibs, Vp this model is just identified

# Path Tracing Rules are 

 based on Covariance Algebra
## Three Fundamental Covariance

 Algebra Rules$$
\operatorname{Var}(X)=\operatorname{Cov}(X, X)
$$

## $\operatorname{Cov}(a X, b Y)=a b \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)$

$$
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y+Z)=\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)+\operatorname{Cov}(X, Z)
$$

## Example 1



The variance of a dependent variable $(\mathrm{Y})$ caused by independent variable A, is the squared regression coefficient multiplied by the variance of the independent variable

## Example 2



## Summary

- Path Tracing and Covariance Algebra have the same aim :
to work out the predicted Variances and Covariances of variables, given the specified model
- The Ultimate Goal is to fit Predicted Variances / Covariances to observed Variances / Covariances of the data in order to estimate model parameters :
- regression coefficients, correlations

