Ordinal (yet again)

Sarah Medland – Boulder 2010

This morning

- Fitting the regression model with ordinal data
- Factor Modelling
 - Continuous
 - Ordinal

Including covariates

At the moment

- No missingness allowed in the covariates
- If the person who is missing the cov is also missing the phenotype(s) being modelled - ie incomplete twin pair

Replace with the mean



How about age/sex correction?

o threshold_age_sex.R

```
require(OpenMx)
Canabis <- read table ('two cat dat', header=T )
# Print Descriptive Statistics
summary(Canabis$twin1)
table(Canabis$twin1)
# Select data
Canabis1 <-data.frame(Canabis$twin1, Canabis$Age, Canabis$Sex)
names(Canabis1) <- c("twin1", "Age", "Sex")</pre>
# Specify and Run Saturated Model (Tetrachoric correlations) with RawData
nvar <- 1
nthresh <- 1
Vars <-('twin1')</pre>
selVars <- ('twin1')</pre>
defVars <- c('age','sex')</pre>
```

How about age/sex correction?

```
AgeSexRegressionModel<- mxModel("AgeSexRegression",
#Bris<-
mxModel("BrisbaneData",
 # Matrix & Algebra for expected means vector (SND), Thresholds and correlation
       mxMatrix(type="Zero", nrow=nvar, ncol=nvar, name="expMean"),
       mxMatrix(type="Full", nrow=nvar, ncol=nthresh, free=TRUE, values=0,
                name="ucThresh", label="Uncorrected Threshold"),
       mxMatrix(type="Stand", nrow=nvar, ncol=nvar, name="expCor"),
#Matrices for age and sex corrections
       mxMatrix( type="Full", nrow=1, ncol=1, free=FALSE, labels="data.Age", name="Age"),
       mxMatrix( type="Full", nrow=1, ncol=1, free=FALSE, labels="data.Sex", name="Sex"),
       mxMatrix( type="Full", nrow=1, ncol=1, free=TRUE, values=0.5, labels="AgeBeta", name="AgeB"),
       mxMatrix( type="Full", nrow=1, ncol=1, free=TRUE, values=0.5, labels="SexBeta", name="SexB"),
       mxAlgebra( expression= AgeB%*%Age + SexB%*%Sex, name="AgeSexR"),
       mxAlgebra( expression= (ucThresh + AgeSexR), name="expThresh", dimnames=list(NA,selVars) ),
       mxData(Canabis1, type="raw"),
       mxFIMLObjective( covariance="expCor", means="expMean", thresholds="expThresh", dimnames=selVars )),
       mxAlgebra(BrisbaneData.objective, name="-2LL"),
       mxAlgebraObjective("-2LL")
AgeSexRegressionFit <- mxRun(AgeSexRegressionModel)
AgeSexRegressionSumm <- summary(AgeSexRegressionFit)
AgeSexRegressionSumm
```

$$AgeSexR = [Age\beta] * [Age] + [Sex\beta] * [Sex]$$
$$expThresh = [ucThresh] + [AgeSexR]$$

How about age/sex correction?

o threshold_age_sex.R

> AgeSexRegressionFit <- mxRun(AgeSexRegressionModel)</p>

Running AgeSexRegression

- > AgeSexRegressionSumm <- summary(AgeSexRegressionFit)</p>
- > AgeSexRegressionSumm

		name	matrix	row	col	Estimate	Std.Error
1	Uncorrected_	Threshold	BrisbaneData.ucThresh	1	1	-0.111843829	0.171943487
2		AgeBeta	BrisbaneData.AgeB	1	1	0.007004344	0.005534061
3		SexBeta	BrisbaneData.SexB	1	1	-0.049993953	0.063750547

What does this mean?

\circ Age Beta = .007

 For every 1 unit increase in Age the threshold shifts .007

```
> AgeSexRegressionFit <- mxRun(AgeSexRegressionModel)</p>
Running AgeSexRegression
> AgeSexRegressionSumm <- summary(AgeSexRegressionFit)</p>
> AgeSexRegressionSumm
                                       matrix row col
                                                          Estimate
                                                                     Std.Error
                   name
1 Uncorrected Threshold BrisbaneData.ucThresh
                                                1 1 -0.111843829 0.171943487
2
                                                1 1 0.007004344 0.005534061
                            BrisbaneData.AgeB
                AgeBeta
                           BrisbaneData.SexB
3
                SexBeta
                                                1 1 -0.049993953 0.063750547
```

What does this mean?

- Beta = .007
- Threshold is -.1118
- 38 is +1.38 SD from the mean age
 - The threshold for 38 year olds is: .1544 = -.1118 + .007*38
- o 22 is -1.38 SD from the mean age
 - The threshold for 38 year olds is:
 .0422= -.1118 + .007*22



How to interpret this

- The threshold moved slightly to the right as age increases
- This means younger people were more likely to have tried smoking than older people

• But this was not significant



If Beta = .03

38 year olds Threshold = 1.028

Is the age effect significant?

22 year olds Threshold = .548

_	ow abou	it the sex	κ ε	eff	fect	
>	AgeSexRegressionFit <-	- mxRun(AgeSexRegressio	onMod	del)		
Ru	unning AgeSexRegressior	1				
\geq	> AgeSexRegressionSumm <- summary(AgeSexRegressionFit)					
\geq	AgeSexRegressionSumm					
	name	matrix	row	col	Estimate	Std.Error
1	Uncorrected_Threshold	BrisbaneData.ucThresh	1	1	-0.111843829	0.171943487
2	AgeBeta	BrisbaneData.AgeB	1	1	0.007004344	0.005534061
3	SexBeta	BrisbaneData.SexB	1	1	-0.049993953	0.063750547

- Beta = -.05
- \circ Threshold = -.1118
- \circ Sex coded Male = 1, Female = 0
- So the Male threshold is:
 - -.1618 = -.1118 + 1*-.05
- The Female threshold is:
 - $-.1118 = -.1118 + 0^* .05$





Are males or females more likely to smoke?

Female

Threshold =-.1118

Both effects together

o 38 year old Males: • $.1042 = -.1118 + 1^{*} - .05 + .007^{*}38$ o 38 year old Females: • $.1542 = -.1118 + 0^{*} - .05 + .007^{*}38$ o 22 year old Males: $-.0078 = -.1118 + 1^{*} - .05 + .007^{*} 22$ o 22 year old Females: • $.0422 = -.1118 + 0^{*} - .05 + .007^{*}22$

Polychotomous Data?

Binary Data... adding a regression

AgeSexR =
$$[Age\beta] * [Age] + [Sex\beta] * [Sex]$$

expThresh = $[ucThresh] + [AgeSexR]$
= $[-.1118 + Age * .007 + Sex * -.05]$
ifAge = 22 and Sex = 1 (Male)
= $[-.1118 + 22 * .007 + 1 * -.05]$
= $[.0422]$

What about more than 2 categories?

\circ Thresholds = L*T;

anxiety



What about more than 2 categories?



Adding a regression

 $AgeSexR = [Age\beta] * [Age] + [Sex\beta] * [Sex]$ Duplicate = $\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{vmatrix}$ (unit maxthresh,1) expThresh = ([L] * [ucThresh]) + $([Duplicate] \otimes [AgeSexR])$ $t11 + \beta sex * sex1 + \beta age * age1$ $(t11 + t21) + \beta sex * sex1 + \beta age * age1$

Multivariate models?

Factor Analysis

 Suppose we have a theory that the covariation between self reports of depression, anxiety and stress levels is due to one underlying

factor



Factor Analysis....

Our data (simulated)

- Five variables Three traits
- Depression, Anxiety & Stress

Transformed to Z-scores

	individual	depression	anxiety	stress	sex
1	1.0	.87	49	.52	0
2	2.0	-1.08	.38	05	0
3	3.0	83	21	-1.14	0
4	4.0	15	-1.16	61	0
5	5.0	1.06	.57	.42	0
6	6.0	53	-1.45	-1.71	0
- 7	7.0	53	.33	.68	0
8	8.0	.31	.64	52	0
9	9.0	-1.38	47	-1.80	0

	Tactor Analysis	\mathbf{X}
Spss	 Individual Image: Sex Image: Variables: Image: Optimized and the set of the set	OK Paste Reset
	Method: Maximum likelihood Analyze Display Image: Origination matrix Image: Origination matrix	Continue Cancel Help
	Extract © Eigenvalues over: Image: Second structure Image: Second structure Maximum Iterations for Convergence: 25	

In

Factor Analysis

Communalities

	Initial	Extraction
depression	.415	.774
anxiety	.325	.408
stress	.257	.319

Extraction Method: Maximum Likelihood.

Total Variance Explained

		Initial Eigenvalu	es	Extractio	n Sums of Squar	ed Loadings
Factor	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	1.951	65.045	65.045	1.501	50.037	50.037
2	.644	21.466	86.511			
3	.405	13.489	100.000			

Extraction Method: Maximum Likelihood.

Factor Matrix^a

	Factor
	1
depression	.880
anxiety	.639
stress	.565

Extraction Method: Maximum Likelihood.

a. 1 factors extracted. 5 iterations required.

What do we get?

Factor Matrix^a

	Factor
	1
depression	.880
anxiety	.639
stress	.565

Extraction Method: Maximum Likelihood.

a. 1 factors extracted. 5 iterations required.

```
MATRIX S

This is a computed FULL matrix

[=L%V|(\D2V(R)')%V]

1 0.8795 0.4758

2 0.6390 0.7692

3 0.5649 0.8251
```

What if our data was ordinal?

Depression
Yes/No 0/1
Anxiety and Stress
Low / Average / High 0/1/2



Spss says no

□_<u>Hide_details</u>

Data. The variables should be quantitative at the <u>interval</u> or <u>ratio</u> level. Categorical data (such as religion or country of origin) are not suitable for factor analysis. Data for which Pearson correlation coefficients can sensibly be calculated should be suitable for factor analysis.

Assumptions. The data should have a bivariate normal distribution for each pair of variables, and observations should be independent. The factor analysis model specifies that variables are determined by common factors (the factors estimated by the model) and unique factors (which do not overlap between observed variables); the computed estimates are based on the assumption that all unique factors are uncorrelated with each

	Two	Three or more	
Measurement	Categories	Categories	Continuous
Two	Tetrachoric	Polychoric	Biserial
Three or more	Polychoric	Polychoric	Polyserial
Continuous	Biserial	Polyserial	Product Moment

Table 2.2: Classification of correlations according to their observed distribution.

Factor Analysis

- Suppose we asked people in a nightclub what their favourite drinks were
 - Series of binary variables



OrdinalFactors.r

```
Fit Multivariate Saturated Model
OrdinalFactorModel <- mxModel("OrdinalFactor",</pre>
  mxModel("Fact",
       mxMatrix( type="Full", nrow=nvar, ncol=nf, free=TRUE, values=.3, name="factor" ),
       mxMatrix( type="Diag", nrow=nvar, ncol=nvar, free=TRUE, values=.3, name="residual" ),
       mxMatrix( type="Stand", nrow=nvar, ncol=nvar, free=TRUE, name="Vconstraint" ),
       mxAlgebra( expression=((factor %*% t(factor))+(residual%*% t(residual))), name="expCov" ),
       mxConstraint( alg1="expCov", "=", alg2="Vconstraint", name="Constraint1"),
       mxMatrix( type="Full", nrow=1, ncol=nvar, free=FALSE, values=0, name="expMean" ),
       mxMatrix( type="Lower", nrow=maxthresh, ncol=maxthresh, free=FALSE, values=1, name="Increment" ),
       mxMatrix( type="Full", nrow=maxthresh, ncol=nvar, free=TRUE, values=c(0.1,-.3,0.1,-.3),
              lbound=-4,name="cutpoints"),
       mxAlgebra( expression= (Increment %*% cutpoints), dimnames=list(thresh,selVars) , name="expThresh"),
      mxData( observed=fullData, type="raw" ),
      mxFIMLObjective( covariance="expCov", means="expMean", dimnames=selVars, thresholds="expThresh")
   ),
  mxAlgebra( Fact.objective, name="-2LL" ),
  mxAlgebraObjective("-2LL")
```

Adding Sex and Age effects

Setting up the factor model and the 'residual' thresholds

setting up the Age and Sex regressions mxMatrix(type="Full", nrow=nvar, ncol=1, free=TRUE, values=0.001, name="bSex"), mxMatrix(type="Full", nrow=nvar, ncol=1, free=TRUE, values=0.001, name="bAge"), mxMatrix(type="Full", nrow=1, ncol=1, free=FALSE, labels="data.Age1", name="Age"), mxMatrix(type="Full", nrow=1, ncol=1, free=FALSE, labels="data.Sex1", name="Sex"), mxAlgebra(expression= t(bAge%*%Age + bSex%*%Sex), name="AgeSexR"), mxMatrix(type="Unit", nrow=maxthresh, ncol=1, free=FALSE, name="Duplicate"), mxAlgebra(expression= (Thresh + (Duplicate%x%(AgeSexR))), name="expThresh", dimnames=list(NA,selVars)), mxFIMLObjective(covariance="expCov", means="expMean", dimnames=selVars, thresholds="expThresh") $AgeSexR = [Age\beta] * [Age] + [Sex\beta] * [Sex]$ Duplicate = $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ (unit maxthresh,1) expThresh = ([L] * [ucThresh]) + $([Duplicate] \otimes [AgeSexR])$

Deliberate Error...

• CODE RED

- Do NOT pass go do NOT collect \$200
- Change start values in script
 - Use values in the code red solution as a guide
 - o mxMatrix(type="Full", nrow=maxthresh, ncol=nvar, free=TRUE, values=c(0,-7 6 E) lbound= 4 pamo="cutpoints")
 - .7, .6, -.5), Ibound=-4, name="cutpoints"),

Other useful things...

Debugging

o check.r

 Can check algebra etc without including data

Ploting etc using mxEval

mxEval(plot(Fact2.Age, Fact2.Sex),OrdinalFactorModelCOV)

Goodbye & Good Luck